

BNL-GP-1/93

January, 1993

Partition function for the eigenvalues of the Wilson line

Andreas Gocksch
&
Robert D. Pisarski

Department of Physics
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, New York 11973

Abstract

In a gauge theory at nonzero temperature the eigenvalues of the Wilson line form a set of gauge invariant observables. By constructing the corresponding partition function for the phases of these eigenvalues, we prove that the trivial vacuum, where the phases vanish, is a minimum of the free energy.

In computing the properties of gauge theories at a nonzero temperature T , a standard method of calculation is to use the imaginary time formalism, where the gauge fields A_μ are strictly periodic in a euclidean time τ , with period $\beta = 1/T$ [1]. This allows for a type of Aharonov-Bohm effect at nonzero temperature, characterized by a nonzero expectation value for the Wilson line that wraps around in the direction of the imaginary time, $\exp(ig \int A_0 d\tau)$. At one loop order [2-4] these inequivalent vacua are parametrized simply by a constant value for the gauge potential A_0 ; calculation shows that the trivial vacuum, with $A_0 = 0$, minimizes the free energy. At two loop order [5] it is necessary to characterize the vacua not just by constant A_0 , but by the Wilson line itself [6,7]; after doing so, the trivial vacuum remains a minimum.

As conjectured in ref. [7], in this paper we show that the trivial vacuum is *always* a minimum of the free energy. Our starting point is a gauge invariant quantity in euclidean spacetime, the effective potential for the phases of the eigenvalues of the Wilson line. This euclidean path integral is then transformed into a partition function [8], where the constraint on the phases of the eigenvalues becomes an imaginary chemical potential for global color charge. Because this chemical potential is imaginary, it follows directly that the trivial vacuum minimizes the free energy, up to standard degeneracies.

Typically the partition function of a gauge theory is a sum not over all states, but only over those which are gauge invariant [1,6,9]. This is true, for example, in computing the free energy at nonzero temperature. In our case, while the *sum* over states is gauge invariant, the individual states which contribute are *not*. In this vein, we note that while partition functions with a chemical potential for global charge have been studied previously [10], hitherto it was assumed that only gauge invariant states contribute. The extension of what is an allowed partition function is, we feel, the most striking feature of our results.

For definiteness we consider an $SU(N)$ gauge theory without matter fields, following previous conventions [7]. Under a local gauge transformation Ω , the gauge potential transforms as $A_\mu^\Omega = \Omega^\dagger D_\mu \Omega / (-ig)$, with g the coupling constant, and $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu$ the covariant derivative. The Wilson line in the direction of euclidean time is

$$L(x) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left(ig \int_0^\beta A_0(x, \tau) d\tau \right) \equiv U(x) \Lambda(x) U^\dagger(x); \quad (1)$$

$\mathcal{P}$ denotes path ordering, and x is the coordinate for three spatial dimensions. The

matrix $L(x)$ is unitary, and so we can write it as the unitary transformation of a diagonal matrix, $\Lambda(x)$: $\Lambda_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij}\Lambda_i(x)$, $UU^\dagger = 1$. Under a gauge transformation the Wilson line transforms as

$$L(x) \rightarrow \Omega(x, \beta) \mathcal{P} \exp \left(ig \int_0^\beta A_0^\Omega(x, \tau) d\tau \right) \Omega^\dagger(x, 0) . \quad (2)$$

Without loss of generality we require that both the gauge fields and the gauge transformations are strictly periodic in the euclidean time. If $\Omega(x, \beta) = \Omega(x, 0)$, then for the Wilson line a gauge transformation is just a similarity transformation; the matrices $U(x)$ are gauge variant, $U(x) \rightarrow \Omega(x, 0)U(x)$, while the diagonal matrix $\Lambda(x)$ is gauge invariant.

Notice that what we call the Wilson line is a matrix in color space. This is distinct from what is usually termed Wilson (or Polyakov) loop at nonzero temperature, which is the trace of this matrix [1]. Since $\text{tr } L = \text{tr } \Lambda$, the trace of the Wilson line is automatically gauge invariant.

The phases of the eigenvalues are given by $\Lambda(x) = e^{i\lambda(x)}$; the λ are elements of the Cartan sub-algebra, which is the set of mutually commuting generators in the group. We introduce an effective potential for the phases as

$$Z(\tilde{\lambda}) = \int_{A_\mu(x, \beta) = +A_\mu(x, 0)} \mathcal{D}A_\mu(x, \tau) e^{-S} \delta \left(\tilde{\lambda} - \int \frac{d^3x}{V} \lambda(x) \right) . \quad (3)$$

$\mathcal{D}A_\mu$ is the functional measure for the gauge fields, including gauge fixing and ghosts, S is the gauge field action, and V is the volume of space. We have chosen to use a “constraint” effective potential [11]; a more standard form, using an external source and then Legendre transformation, could also be used. From $Z(\tilde{\lambda})$ the free energy, as a function of the $\tilde{\lambda}$, is $F(\tilde{\lambda}) = -\log(Z(\tilde{\lambda}))$.

When the phases vanish, $F(0)$ reduces to the usual free energy at a temperature T , in the absence of any background field. (This is seen most easily from (14) below.) It is for this reason that we constrain the phases of the eigenvalues instead of the eigenvalues themselves. Since the $\tilde{\lambda}$ ’s are phases, the free energy is periodic: for $\tilde{\lambda}_{ij} = \tilde{\lambda}_i \delta_{ij}$, $F(\tilde{\lambda})$ is unchanged when any single element is shifted by a multiple of 2π , $F(\tilde{\lambda}_i + 2\pi) = F(\tilde{\lambda}_i)$.

In a pure gauge theory there is a further degeneracy in $F(\tilde{\lambda})$. The gauge potentials remain periodic under certain aperiodic gauge transformations, as long as the aperiodicity is a constant element in the center of the group [1]. For an $SU(N)$ gauge

group the center is $Z(N)$, and the aperiodic gauge transformations satisfy $\Omega(x, \beta) = e^{i\theta_\ell} \Omega(x, 0)$, where $\theta_\ell = 2\pi\ell/N$, and ℓ is an integer $= 0, 1, \dots, (N-1)$. Under such gauge transformations every phase transforms by the same constant, $\lambda_i(x) \rightarrow \lambda_i(x) + \theta_\ell$ for all $i = 1 \dots N$. This implies that the effective potential automatically possesses a $Z(N)$ symmetry, $F(\tilde{\lambda} + \theta_\ell) = F(\tilde{\lambda})$ (here θ_ℓ denotes a diagonal matrix where each element $= \theta_\ell$; this notation is used later, and should be clear from the context).

Before turning the effective potential into a partition function, it is worth reviewing the results of perturbative calculations [2-7]. To compute one expands about a constant, background field $A_0 = A_0^{cl} + A_0^{qu}$, where $A_0^{cl} = \tilde{\lambda}/g$. A convenient gauge is covariant background field gauge, where the gauge fixing term in the lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{covariant} = \frac{1}{\xi} \text{tr} \left(\left(D_\mu^{cl} A_\mu^{qu} \right)^2 \right), \quad (4)$$

$D_\mu^{cl} = \partial_\mu - ig[A_\mu^{cl}, \cdot]$. At one loop order the free energy is independent of the gauge fixing parameter ξ , $F_{one\ loop}(\tilde{\lambda}) = 2 \text{tr} \log(-(D_\mu^{cl})^2)$; this is the free energy for two spatially transverse gluons in the background field A_0^{cl} . The minimum is at $\tilde{\lambda} = 0$, $F_{one\ loop}(0) \leq F_{one\ loop}(\tilde{\lambda})$, plus $Z(N)$ transforms thereof.

At two loop order, as a function of A_0^{cl} the free energy is gauge dependent. Belyaev [6,7] noted that this happens because at one loop order fluctuations in the gauge field — in particular the static components of A_0^{qu} which do not commute with A_0^{cl} — alter the relationship between $\tilde{\lambda}$ and A_0^{cl} from that at tree level. This feeds back into the free energy at two loop order. As a function of the $\tilde{\lambda}$, the free energy is independent of ξ , with a minimum for $\tilde{\lambda} = 0$, $F_{two\ loop}(0) \leq F_{two\ loop}(\tilde{\lambda})$.

There are “unitary” gauges in which the relationship $A_0^{cl} = \tilde{\lambda}/g$ is unchanged to any loop order. A reasonable guess is static gauge [12], imposing $\partial_0 A_0 = 0$. This is not adequate, however, for static gauge has a residual gauge freedom of performing static gauge transformations. Dividing up $A_0 = A_0^{cl} + A_0^{qu}$, some static gauge transformations rotate the background field A_0^{cl} , which is inconsistent. A gauge which avoids this is static background gauge, obtained by adding the term

$$\mathcal{L}_{static} = \frac{1}{\xi} \text{tr} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\xi'} D_0^{cl} A_0^{qu} + \partial_i A_i^{qu} \right)^2 \right) \quad (5)$$

to the lagrangian. Letting the gauge parameter $\xi' \rightarrow 0$ fixes $D_0^{cl} A_0^{qu} = 0$. This restricts all fluctuations in A_0^{qu} to be both static and in directions which commute with A_0^{cl} . The value of the other gauge fixing parameter ξ is arbitrary; ξ removes the

remaining gauge degeneracy under static gauge transformations which commute with A_0^d .

The calculation of the free energy to one loop order in static background gauge is illuminating. At leading order the ghost determinant depends on the background field as $\det(-(D_0^{cl})^2)$. In static background gauge the square root of the ghost determinant equals a product of Vandermonde determinants for the Wilson line,

$$\det(D_0^{cl}) = \prod_x |\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\lambda})|^2 \quad , \quad \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\lambda}) = \prod_{i < j} (e^{i\tilde{\lambda}_i} - e^{i\tilde{\lambda}_j}) \quad . \quad (6)$$

The square of the Vandermonde determinant enters at each point in space, so in the action the logarithm of the product of Vandermonde determinants is proportional to $\delta^3(0)V$, which vanishes in dimensional regularization. Even in other regularization schemes, however, the product of Vandermonde determinants from the static background ghosts do not contribute to the free energy $F(\tilde{\lambda})$. One factor cancels against the contribution of the spatially longitudinal mode of the gluon.¹ The second factor cancels against terms from the A_0 components of the propagator, which give a finite but nonzero contribution in the limit $\xi' \rightarrow 0$. After these cancellations the two spatially transverse modes give the same result as found in covariant gauge.

To turn the path integral of (3) into a partition function we follow Rossi and Testa [8]. First we transform to $A_0 = 0$ gauge. The gauge transformation which accomplishes this transformation is the “partial” Wilson line, $\Omega(x, \tau) = \mathcal{P} \exp(ig \int_0^\tau A_0(x, \tau') d\tau')$. Many factors can be ignored in going to $A_0 = 0$ gauge. The Fadeev-Popov determinant is $\det(\partial_0)$, which is independent of temperature and the background field. Similarly, when $0 \leq \tau < \beta$, all terms in the measure from the gauge transformation $\Omega(x, \tau)$ can all dropped. The sole exception is the gauge transformation for the last slice of euclidean time, $\Omega(x, \beta)$. This must be retained because it affects the boundary conditions: the boundary conditions are aperiodic, $A_i(x, \beta) = +A_i^{\Omega(x, \beta)}(x, 0)$, while

¹ This cancellation is similar to that found by Weiss [2], who imposed the condition that A_0 is static and diagonal by fiat. There is no ghost *per se*, but the measure of the functional integral includes a single factor of the Vandermonde determinant squared at each point in space. He obtains the correct free energy at one loop order, where the product of Vandermonde determinants in the measure cancels against the contribution of the spatially longitudinal gluons. One can check, however, that his method does not give the correct form of Gauss’ law in the Cartan sub-algebra. The problem is that the gauge condition $\partial_0 A_0 = 0$ can only be imposed after allowing arbitrary variations in A_0 , not before. Imposing static background gauge as in the text, by letting $\xi' \rightarrow 0$, avoids this difficulty.

the Wilson line $L(x) \rightarrow \Omega(x, \beta)$. Relabeling $\Omega(x, \beta)$ as $\Omega(x)$, in $A_0 = 0$ gauge the path integral is

$$Z(\tilde{\lambda}) = \int \mathcal{D}\Omega(x) \int_{A_i(x, \beta) = +A_i^\Omega(x, 0)} \mathcal{D}A_i(x, \tau) e^{-S(A_0=0)} \delta\left(\tilde{\lambda} - \int \frac{d^3x}{V} \lambda(x)\right). \quad (7)$$

As before we decompose $\Omega(x) = U(x)\Lambda(x)U^\dagger(x)$, $\Lambda(x) = e^{i\lambda(x)}$. In terms of these variables the measure $\mathcal{D}\Omega = \mathcal{D}U \mathcal{D}\lambda |\mathcal{V}(\lambda)|^2$, where the measure naturally includes the square of the Vandermonde determinant [13]. Thus in $A_0 = 0$ gauge the constraint on the Wilson line becomes a constraint on the final gauge transformation, $\Omega(x)$.

An advantage of $A_0 = 0$ gauge is that the canonical structure is manifest [8,9]. Thus the functional integral in (7), written in imaginary time, is equal to the partition function,

$$Z(\tilde{\lambda}) = \int \mathcal{D}\Omega(x) \sum_{A_i(x)} \langle A_i(x) | e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}} | A_i^\Omega(x) \rangle \delta\left(\tilde{\lambda} - \int \frac{d^3x}{V} \lambda(x)\right). \quad (8)$$

All fields $A_i(x, t)$ are functions of a fixed time t ; this time is arbitrary, and so the dependence upon it is suppressed. We assume canonical commutation relations between $A_i(x)$ and the electric field $E_j(x)$, $[A_i^a(x), E_j^b(y)] = i\delta^{ab}\delta_{ij}\delta^3(x-y)$. $\mathcal{H}$ is the total Hamiltonian, with the sum over all eigenstates $|A_i(x)\rangle$; $|A_i^\Omega(x)\rangle$ is the gauge transformation of a state under $\Omega(x)$.

To obtain a more useful form of the partition function we introduce the generators of gauge transformations. Given $\Omega(x)$, let $\Omega = e^{i\omega}$, and define the operator

$$G(\omega) = -\frac{2}{g} \int d^3x \operatorname{tr}((D_i\omega(x)) E_i(x)). \quad (9)$$

The canonical commutation relations imply that these generators form a representation of the Lie algebra,

$$[G(\omega_1), G(\omega_2)] = G([\omega_1, \omega_2]). \quad (10)$$

By the operator identity $A_i^\Omega(x) = e^{-iG(\omega)} A_i(x) e^{iG(\omega)}$, the gauge transformed state is given by

$$|A_i^\Omega(x)\rangle = e^{iG(\omega)} |A_i(x)\rangle. \quad (11)$$

Since the operators $G(\omega)$ generate gauge transformations, they commute with the Hamiltonian, $[G(\omega), \mathcal{H}] = 0$.

We write the eigenvalues $\lambda(x) = \tilde{\lambda} + \lambda_q(x)$, so that the constraint becomes $\int d^3x \lambda_q(x) = 0$. This constraint implies that there is no constant mode in $\lambda_q(x)$, and is automatically satisfied by requiring that $\lambda_q(x)$ vanish at spatial infinity. In this way we trade the constraint for a boundary condition,

$$Z(\tilde{\lambda}) = \int_{\lambda_q(\infty)=0} \mathcal{D}U(x) \mathcal{D}\lambda_q(x) |\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\lambda} + \lambda_q(x))|^2 \sum_{A_i(x)} \langle A_i^U(x) | e^{-\beta \mathcal{H} + iG(\tilde{\lambda}) + iG(\lambda_q)} | A_i^U(x) \rangle, \quad (12)$$

To obtain this we start with $|A^\Omega(x)\rangle$, and by using $\Omega = U\Lambda U^\dagger$, undo the last two gauge transformations. $U^\dagger$ is carried through to change $\langle A_i(x) |$ into $\langle A_i^U(x) |$. The generators for the gauge transformations in the Cartan sub-algebra are left as is. The factor of $G(\lambda_q(x))$ generates a local, that for $G(\tilde{\lambda})$ a global, gauge transformation in the Cartan sub-algebra. The generator of the global gauge transformation can be written as a chemical potential for global color charge, $\mathcal{Q}$:

$$G(\tilde{\lambda}) = 2 \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\lambda} \mathcal{Q}) \quad , \quad \mathcal{Q} = -i g \int d^3x [A_i(x), E_i(x)]. \quad (13)$$

The appearance of the background field as an imaginary chemical potential is not surprising: this is obvious at tree level, using the canonical formalism to expand about a constant field $A_0^c = \tilde{\lambda}/g$; see, *e.g.*, ref.'s [3] and [4]. What is not obvious, and which (12) and (14) demonstrate, is how to write the partition function $Z(\tilde{\lambda})$ in a gauge invariant manner beyond tree level.

It is worth checking that to leading order the partition function in (12) gives the same free energy as found in the imaginary time formalism. The integrations over the gauge transformations $U(x)$ and $\lambda_q(x)$ can be ignored to this order. Thus the only change from calculating the free energy in zero field is the presence of an imaginary chemical potential for global color charge. Partition functions of this form have been studied [10]; from these calculations we see that the spatially transverse gluons give the same free energy as found to one loop order in the imaginary time formalism. The spatially longitudinal mode, with zero energy, cancels the product of Vandermonde determinants from the measure, $\prod_x |\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\lambda} + \lambda_q(x))|^2 \approx \prod_x |\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\lambda})|^2$.

Since λ_q vanishes at spatial infinity we can integrate $G(\lambda_q)$ by parts to obtain $G(\lambda_q) = 2 \int d^3x \operatorname{tr}(\lambda_q D_i E_i)/g$. This presumes that the gauge field itself satisfies the appropriate boundary conditions: $A_i(x) \sim 1/r$ and $E_i(x) \sim 1/r^2$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ suffice. The only other place where λ_q enters is through the infinite product of Vandermonde determinants, $\prod_x |\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\lambda} + \lambda(x))|^2$. As remarked previously, however, this infinite product vanishes if we adopt dimensional regularization, which we do. Then integration

over λ_q is trivial, generating a projection operator, $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{C} = \prod_x \delta(\text{tr}(t_C^a D_i E_i(x)))$, where the t_C^a are the $N - 1$ elements of the Cartan sub-algebra. This imposes Gauss' law in the Cartan sub-algebra at each point in space. Whence

$$Z(\tilde{\lambda}) = \int \mathcal{D}U(x) \sum_{A_i(x)} \langle A_i^U(x) | e^{-\beta \mathcal{H} + 2i \text{tr}(\tilde{\lambda} \mathcal{Q})} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{C} | A_i^U(x) \rangle . \quad (14)$$

This form of the partition function involves only states with positive norm, the $|A_i^{U(x)}(x)\rangle$, and a positive measure of integration over $U(x)$. The background field enters only through the global color charge, $e^{2i \text{tr}(\tilde{\lambda} \mathcal{Q})}$. While this factor is imaginary, because the sum always includes states with equal and opposite charge, the total is necessarily real, so $e^{2i \text{tr}(\tilde{\lambda} \mathcal{Q})} \sim \cos(2 \text{tr}(\tilde{\lambda} \mathcal{Q}))$. Since the cosine function is less than or equal to one, a nonzero field $\tilde{\lambda}$ decreases the partition function, $Z(\tilde{\lambda}) \leq Z(0)$, and so increases the free energy,

$$F(0) \leq F(\tilde{\lambda}) , \quad (15)$$

which concludes our proof.

Our argument is inspired by that of Vafa and Witten [14] for the θ -angle in QCD . Nonzero θ appears in the euclidean path integral as a phase factor, $e^{i\theta \mathcal{Q}_{top}}$, where $\mathcal{Q}_{top}$ is the topological charge. If the functional measure is positive definite, this implies that $\theta = 0$ and π minimize the corresponding free energy. In our case we need positivity for the sum over states in the partition function (which is why we take $A_0 = 0$ gauge) as the background field appears as a phase factor for global color charge.

A delicate point is the use of dimensional regularization to eliminate the infinite product of Vandermonde determinants. Based upon calculations to one loop order, where the product of Vandermonde determinants cancels in $F(\tilde{\lambda})$, we suggest that this cancellation persists to arbitrary loop order. There is a general reason why it should. Consider $Z(\tilde{\lambda})$ in (1), and then integrate over all $\tilde{\lambda}$; the delta function drops out to give the free energy in zero field. (Integrating (14) with respect to $\tilde{\lambda}$ gives a constraint of zero color charge; since in infinite volume the minimum is always at $\tilde{\lambda} = 0$, this constraint is inconsequential.) But the appropriate measure for the integration over $\tilde{\lambda}$, $\mathcal{D}\tilde{\lambda} |\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\lambda})|^2$, already includes a single factor of the square of the Vandermonde determinant. Thus there should not be any additional factors in $Z(\tilde{\lambda})$, infinite or not.

It is trivial to extend the above to include the effects of matter fields. Fermion fields, for instance, are added to the euclidean functional integral, (3), through an-

tiperiodic boundary conditions. $\psi(x, \beta) = -\psi(x, 0)$. The above derivations go through with minor modifications: the states include both gauge fields and fermions, $|A_i(x), \psi(x)\rangle$, and the global color charge includes the contribution of the matter fields as well. But again we conclude that $F(0) \leq F(\tilde{\lambda})$.

The partition function also provides insight into the global $Z(N)$ symmetry. If all matter fields lie in the adjoint representation, the free energy, and so the vacua, are $Z(N)$ symmetric, $F(0) = F(\theta_\ell)$ for all $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, (N-1)$. This $Z(N)$ symmetry is manifest from the form of the partition function in (14). The factor of $e^{2i \text{tr}(\theta_\ell \mathcal{Q})} = e^{iG(\theta_\ell)}$ generates a global $Z(N)$ gauge transformation on the states by $\Omega = e^{i\theta_\ell}$. As an element of the center of the group this transformation leaves gluon (and other adjoint) states unaltered, $e^{2i \text{tr}(\theta_\ell \mathcal{Q})}|A_i^U\rangle = |A_i^U\rangle$.

If there are matter fields in the fundamental representation, the $Z(N)$ symmetry is lifted at one loop order: for $\ell \neq 0$, $F(0) < F(\theta_\ell)$, as the trivial vacuum, $\tilde{\lambda} = 0$, is the unique vacuum (modulo the usual factors of 2π because $\tilde{\lambda}$ is a phase). In terms of the partition function, the $Z(N)$ degeneracy is lifted because while states in the adjoint representation don't change under $Z(N)$ global gauge transformations, states in the fundamental representation do:

$$e^{2i \text{tr}(\theta_\ell \mathcal{Q})}|A_i^U, \psi^U\rangle = |A_i^U, e^{i\theta_\ell} \psi^U\rangle \neq |A_i^U, \psi^U\rangle. \quad (16)$$

In this way, we see that while the Wilson line is defined originally in euclidean space-time, its transformation under (aperiodic) global $Z(N)$ gauge rotations directly reflects the transformations of *states*, in the partition function, under global $Z(N)$ gauge rotations.

We conclude by discussing the nature of states which contribute to the partition function in (14). Since by definition $Z(\tilde{\lambda})$ is gauge invariant, the sum in states in (14) must be as well. A (static) gauge transformation $V(x)$ changes $A_i \rightarrow A_i^V$; both the bra and ket states change, $\langle A_i^U | \rightarrow \langle A_i^{VU} |$ and $|A_i^U\rangle \rightarrow |A_i^{VU}\rangle$. As the Haar measure is invariant under left multiplication, though, by $U(x) \rightarrow V^\dagger(x)U(x)$ we can eliminate any such $V(x)$.

While the sum over states is gauge invariant, the states which contribute are not. This is very different from the computation of the free energy in zero field, $F(0)$. Begin with (4), and just drop the constraint altogether. Then $F(0)$ involves states of the form

$$|A_i(x)\rangle_{inv} = \int \mathcal{D}\Omega(x) |A_i^\Omega(x)\rangle. \quad (17)$$

By the properties of the Haar measure, these states are manifestly gauge invariant [1,8], $|A_i^V\rangle_{inv} = |A_i\rangle_{inv}$. In nonzero field, however, the presence of the constraint on the eigenvalues implies that more than just gauge invariant states contribute to the sum.

Alternately, consider how Gauss' law works. In zero field it is easy to start from (8) and show that the integral over Ω imposes Gauss' law in all directions of the group [1,8,9]. This can be seen, albeit less directly, from (14): for $\tilde{\lambda} = 0$, take each state, say the bra $\langle A_i^U|$, and undo the gauge transformation $U(x)$ by writing it as $\langle A_i|e^{-iG(u)}$, $U = e^{iu}$. Doing this for both bra and kets, the projector for the Cartan sub-algebra becomes $e^{-iG(u)}\mathbf{P}_C e^{iG(u)}$. This is the gauge transformation of Gauss' law in the Cartan sub-algebra, so integration over the $U(x)$ imposes Gauss' law in all directions of the group algebra.

These manipulations fail in nonzero field, $\tilde{\lambda} \neq 0$. The problem is that the generator for the local gauge transformation, $G(u)$, need not commute with that for the global gauge transformation, $G(\tilde{\lambda})$: there are always elements $u(x)$ for which $[u(x), \tilde{\lambda}] \neq 0$, so $[G(u), G(\tilde{\lambda})] \neq 0$. Thus in nonzero field, *only* the components of Gauss' law in the Cartan sub-algebra are set to zero, and not the remaining components.

This feature is generic to *any* effective potential which is a function of the Wilson line. Consider, for example, the potential for the real part of the trace of the Wilson line. In euclidean spacetime,

$$Z_{tr}(J) = \int_{A_\mu(x,\beta)=+A_\mu(x,0)} \mathcal{D}A_\mu(x,\tau) e^{-S-J \int d^3x \text{Re}(tr L)} , \quad (18)$$

using a source J coupled to the trace of the Wilson line. Using the above techniques this equals the partition function

$$Z_{tr}(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\Omega(x) \sum_{A_i} \langle A_i(x) | e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}-J \int d^3x \text{Re}(tr \Omega)} | A_i^\Omega(x) \rangle . \quad (19)$$

Again, while the sum over states is gauge invariant, the individual states are not: the source dependent state

$$|A_i(x), J\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\Omega(x) e^{-J \int d^3x \text{Re}(tr \Omega)} |A_i^\Omega(x)\rangle \quad (20)$$

is not gauge invariant: $|A_i^V, J\rangle \neq |A_i, J\rangle$ when $J \neq 0$.

This behavior is not entirely unexpected. After all, the vacuum expectation value of the trace of the Wilson line, $\langle tr L(x) \rangle = \partial \ln(Z(J)) / \partial J(x)|_{J=0}$, is the wave function

for an infinitely heavy test quark [1]. In terms of Gauss' law, a single Wilson line corresponds to the insertion of a point source of unit strength at that point [1]. A source for the (trace of the) Wilson line, spread out over all of space, then modifies Gauss' law everywhere. From (19), when $J(x) \neq 0$ no component of Gauss' law vanishes, not even those in the Cartan sub-algebra. Amusingly, the right hand side of Gauss' law, which is proportional to the source J , is only real if J is purely imaginary.

Partition functions similar to that in (14) have been studied before [10]. The difference is that these works all assume that only gauge invariant states, as in (17), contribute:

$$Z_{inv}(\tilde{\lambda}) = \sum_{A_i(x)} \langle A_i(x) |_{inv} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H} + 2i \text{tr}(\tilde{\lambda} \mathcal{Q})} | A_i(x) \rangle_{inv} , \quad (21)$$

At zero field everything reduces to the standard free energy: $Z(0) = Z_{inv}(0)$. Since Z_{inv} contains no term in the measure for the Vandermonde determinant, at one loop order $Z(\tilde{\lambda})$ and $Z_{inv}(\tilde{\lambda})$ differ by a product of Vandermonde determinants, as the spatially longitudinal gluons give $1/\prod_x |\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\lambda})|^2$. This leads one to suspect that perhaps to arbitrary loop order, $Z(\tilde{\lambda})$ and $Z_{inv}(\tilde{\lambda})$ differ only by terms which vanish in dimensional regularization.

Nevertheless, (21) has one serious drawback: in euclidean spacetime it does not correspond to the functional integral for a gauge invariant potential. Using the definition of the invariant state $|A_i(x)\rangle_{inv}$,

$$Z_{inv}(\tilde{\lambda}) = \int \mathcal{D}\Omega_1(x) \int \mathcal{D}\Omega_2(x) \sum_{A_i(x)} \langle A_i(x) | e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}} | A_i^{\Omega_f}(x) \rangle , \quad \Omega_f(x) = \Omega_1(x) e^{i\tilde{\lambda}} \Omega_2^\dagger(x) . \quad (22)$$

This is similar to the partition function in (8), with the replacement of the gauge transformation Ω by Ω_f . In (8) the background field $\tilde{\lambda}$ is related to a gauge invariant quantity, the spatial average over the phases of the eigenvalues of $\Omega(x)$. In (22), however, $\tilde{\lambda}$ is not related to anything gauge invariant, since the eigenvalues of $\Omega_f = \Omega_1 e^{i\tilde{\lambda}} \Omega_2^\dagger$ are not $e^{i\tilde{\lambda}}$. Computing the euclidean path integral which corresponds to (22) [1] leads to an expansion about constant $A_0^d = \tilde{\lambda}/g$, which is gauge dependent beyond leading order.

Consider the consequences if, by dint of prejudice, one insists that only gauge invariant states should contribute to the partition functions of gauge theories. Then construct the effective potential for the trace of the Wilson line, as in (19), everywhere replacing the states $|A_i\rangle$ with gauge invariant states, $|A_i\rangle_{inv}$. But as $|A_i^\Omega\rangle_{inv} = |A_i\rangle_{inv}$, this partition function gives a *trivial* potential for the trace of the Wilson line,

$Z_{inv}(J) = \prod_x \int \mathcal{D}\Omega(x) e^{-J \int d^3x \text{Re}(tr \Omega)}$: this potential is independent of temperature or the field content, and as an infinite product, vanishes in dimensional regularization. This is untenable, manifestly in contradiction with numerical simulations of euclidean lattice gauge theories.

Partition functions as in (14) do produce novel thermodynamic behavior. In ordinary partition functions each state makes a positive contribution to Z , which implies that the pressure is positive. In nonzero field, however, as the sum over states includes a factor of $\cos(2 \text{tr}(\tilde{\lambda} \mathcal{Q}))$, states with nonzero charge can make a negative contribution to $Z(\tilde{\lambda})$, and consequently the pressure can be negative. For instance, even for a single scalar field coupled to an abelian gauge field, there are regions of $\tilde{\lambda}$ in which the pressure is negative.

Dixit and Ogilvie [3] observed that in theories with dynamical fermions, while $Z(N)$ transforms of the usual vacuum have greater free energy than the trivial vacuum, they are often metastable. The cosmological implications of such $Z(N)$ “bubbles” were developed by Ignatius, Kajantie, and Rummukainen [2]. In ref. [4], however, it was pointed out that the pressure of the metastable $Z(N)$ state can be negative, depending upon matter content of the theory. This includes the physically interesting case of three colors and six massless flavors, as applies at temperatures above the restoration of the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry. While the pressure of W , Z , and Higgs bosons acts to make the total pressure positive, it is rather disquieting to find that the pressure of a subsystem is negative. On these, and other grounds, the authors of [4] argue that the metastable $Z(N)$ states are not thermodynamically accessible.

We suggest the contrary. Surely a system with negative pressure is not thermodynamically stable; indeed, it will not even be metastable for long. But we propose that if a path integral is gauge invariant and well defined in euclidean spacetime, then the corresponding partition function, as in (14) and (19), *do* represent physically realizable systems. It’s just that there’s a richer variety of partition functions possible in gauge theories.

We thank M. Creutz, S. Gavin, C. Korthals-Altes, B. Svetitsky, and L. Trueman for helpful discussions. This research was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC02-76CH00016.

References

- [1] A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **72B**, 477 (1978); L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D **20**,

- 2610 (1979); B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rep. **132**, 1 (1986).
- [2] N. Batakis and G. Lazarides, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 4710 (1978); D. Gross, R.D. Pisarski, and L.G. Yaffe, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53**, 43 (1981), especially sec.'s III.B, V.A, and appendix D; N. Weiss, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 475 (1981); *ibid.* **25**, 2667 (1982).
 - [3] J. Polonyi and K. Szlachanyi, Phys. Lett. **110B**, 395 (1982); Y. Hosotani, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **190**, 233 (1989); J. Bohacik, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 3554 (1990); C.-L. Ho and Y. Hosotani, Nucl. Phys. **B345**, 445 (1990) V. Dixit and M.C. Ogilvie, Phys. Lett. **B269**, 353 (1991); J. Ignatius, K. Kajantie, and K. Rummukainen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 737 (1992); J. Polchinski, *ibid.* **68**, 1267 (1992); S. Chapman, LBL preprint LBL-33116 (July, 1992).
 - [4] V. M. Belyaev, I. I. Kogan, G. W. Semenoff, and N. Weiss, Phys. Lett. **B277**, 331 (1992); W. Chen, M. I. Dobroliubov, and G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. D **46**, R1223 (1992).
 - [5] R. Anishetty, Jour. of Phys. **G10**, 423 (1984); *ibid.* **G10**, 439 (1984); K. J. Dahlem, Z. Phys. C **C29**, 553 (1985); J. Polonyi, Nucl. Phys. **A461**, 279 (1987); S. Nadkarni, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 3904 (1986); *ibid.* **38**, 3287 (1988); Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 491 (1988); V. M. Belyaev, Phys. Lett. **B241**, 91 (1990); V. M. Belyaev and V. L. Eletsky, Z. Phys. C **45**, 355 (1990); K. Enqvist and K. Kajantie, *ibid.* **47**, 291 (1990); J. Polonyi and S. Vazquez, Phys. Lett. **B240**, 183 (1990); M. Burgess and D. J. Toms, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **210**, 438 (1991); M. Oleszczuk and J. Polonyi, MIT preprints MIT-CTP-1984 (June, 1991), Regensburg preprints TPR-92-33 and TPR-92-34; K. Shiraishi and S. Hirenzaki, Z. Phys. C **53**, 91 (1992).
 - [6] V. M. Belyaev, Phys. Lett. **B254**, 153 (1991).
 - [7] T. Bhattacharya, A. Gocksch, C. P. Korthals Altes and R. D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 998 (1991); Nucl. Phys. **B383**, 497 (1992).
 - [8] G. C. Rossi and M. Testa, Nucl. Phys. **B163**, 109 (1980); *ibid.* **B176**, 477 (1980); *ibid.* **B237**, 442 (1984).
 - [9] Gauge fields: Introduction to Quantum Theory, L. D. Fadeev and A. A. Slavnov (Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, 1980).

- [10] K. Redlich and L. Turko, Z. Phys. C **5**, 201 (1980); L. Turko, Phys. Lett. **104B**, 153 (1981); C. DeTar and L. McLerran, *ibid.* **119B**, 171 (1982); M. I. Gorenstein, S. I. Lipskikh, V. K. Petrov, and G. M. Zinovjev, *ibid.* **123B**, 437 (1983); M. I. Gorenstein, O. A. Mogilevsky, V. K. Petrov, and G. M. Zinovjev, Z. Phys. C **18**, 13 (1983); H.-Th. Elze, W. Greiner, and J. Rafelski, Phys. Lett. **124B**, 515 (1983); B.-S. Skagerstam, *ibid.* **133B**, 419 (1983); Z. Phys. C **24**, 97 (1984); P. A. Amundsen and B.-S. Skagerstam, Phys. Lett. **165B**, 375 (1985); L. D. McLerran and A. Sen, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 2794 (1985); H.-Th. Elze and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. A **33**, 1879 (1986); S. I. Azakov, P. Salomonson, and B.-S. Skagerstam, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 2137 (1987); D. E. Miller and K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 2524 (1987); *ibid.* **37**, 3716 (1988); H.-Th. Elze, D. E. Miller, and K. Redlich, *ibid.* **35**, 748 (1987); A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene, J.-C. Raynal, M. Jarfi, and O. Lazrak, *ibid.* **37**, 3691 (1988); *ibid.* **37**, 3702 (1988); *ibid.* **38**, 3256 (1988); *ibid.* **39**, 924 (1989); S. P. Klevansky and R. H. Lemmer, *ibid.* **38**, 3559 (1988).
- [11] L. O’Raifeartaigh, A. Wipf, and H. Yoneyama, Nucl. Phys. **B271**, 653 (1986), and references therein.
- [12] A. Burnel, R. Kobes, G. Kunstatter, and K. Mak, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **204**, 247 (1990), and references therein.
- [13] The Classical Groups, H. Weyl (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946).
- [14] C. Vafa and E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 535 (1984).